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In the complex cation of the title compound, $\left[\mathrm{Ir}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NS}\right)_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, which was obtained by rearrangement of $\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}$ (aet is 2-aminoethanethiolate) in an aqueous solution, two approximately octahedral $\operatorname{fac}(S)$ $\left[\mathrm{Ir}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}\right]$ units are linked by two coordinated disulfide bonds. The complex cation has a twofold axis, and the two non-bridging thiolate $S$ atoms in the complex are located on opposite sides of the two disulfide bonds. Considering the absolute configurations of the two octahedral units ( $\Delta$ and $\Lambda$ ) and the four asymmetric disulfide $S$ atoms ( $R$ and $S$ ), the complex consists of the $\Delta_{R R} \Delta_{R R}$ and $\Lambda_{S S} \Lambda_{S S}$ isomers, which combine to form the racemic compound.

## Comment

Previously, we have reported dinuclear $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}$ and $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complexes containing a coordinated disulfide bond, viz. $\left[\left\{M(\text { aet })_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta })\right]^{2+}\left(M=\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}\right.$ and $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}$, aet is 2-aminoethanethiolate and cysta is cystamine), which were formed by oxidation of mononuclear complexes containing three thiolate S atoms, $\operatorname{fac}(S)-\left[M(\mathrm{aet})_{3}\right]$ (Konno et al., 1997; Miyashita et al., 1998). Furthermore, since thiolate $S$ atoms can bridge two metal ions, construction of S-bridged polynuclear complexes using $\operatorname{fac}(S)-\left[M(\mathrm{aet})_{3}\right]$ units as building blocks has been investigated (Konno, 2004). For example, the reaction of $\operatorname{fac}(S)-\left[M(\text { aet })_{3}\right]$ with metal ions which prefer an octahedral geometry, selectively forms linear-type trinuclear complexes, $\left[M^{\prime}\left\{M(\text { aet })_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}\left(M^{\prime}=\mathrm{Cr}^{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{Co}^{\mathrm{III}}, \mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}\right.$, etc.; Mahboob et al., 2004). Recently, we have synthesized the trinuclear complex involving the $\mathrm{Re}^{\mathrm{III}}$ ion, viz. $\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })_{3}\right\}_{2}\right]^{3+}$ (Mahboob et al., 2002). During the course of the recrystallization processes, a novel dinuclear $\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complex containing two coordinated disulfide bonds was obtained. We report here the crystal structure of the title compound, $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{aet})\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta })_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{4} \cdot 2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, (I), for comparison with those of related complexes.

The asymmetric unit of (I) comprises one-half of a tetravalent dinuclear complex cation, two bromide anions and one
water molecule. The complex cation consists of two approximately octahedral $\operatorname{fac}(S)-\left[\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}\right]$ units, which are

(I)
linked by two disulfide bonds (Fig. 1). There is a crystallographic twofold axis through the center of the $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{S} 1^{\mathrm{i}}$ and $\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{S} 2^{\mathrm{i}}$ bonds [symmetry code: (i) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z$ ]. The two non-bridging thiolate S atoms ( S 3 and $\mathrm{S} 3^{\mathrm{i}}$ ) are located on opposite sides of the two disulfide bonds. Considering the absolute configurations of the two octahedral units ( $\Delta$ or $\Lambda$ ) and the four asymmetric disulfide S atoms ( $R$ or $S$ ), the present crystal of (I) is racemic, with $\Delta_{R R} \Delta_{R R}$ and $\Lambda_{S S} \Lambda_{S S}$ configurations. (The isomer shown in Fig. 1 is the $\Lambda_{S S} \Lambda_{S S}$ isomer.) This configuration contrasts with the fact that the corresponding single disulfide-bridged complexes $\left[\left\{M(\text { aet })_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu\right.$ cysta) $]^{2+}\left(M=\mathrm{Rh}^{\text {III }}\right.$ and $\left.\mathrm{Ir}^{\mathrm{III}}\right)$ are selectively obtained as the meso isomer, with $\Delta_{R} \Lambda_{S}$ configurations (Konno et al., 1997; Miyashita et al., 1998). On the other hand, a triple disulfidebridged $\mathrm{Ru}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complex (Albela et al., 1999) and a triple dise-lenide-bridged $\mathrm{Rh}^{\mathrm{III}}$ complex (Konno et al., 2003) are obtained as the racemic isomer with $\Delta_{R R R} \Delta_{R R R}$ and $\Lambda_{S S S} \Lambda_{S S S}$ configurations.

In (I), the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N}($ trans to disulfide) distances [mean 2.089 (12) $\AA$ ] are shorter than the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N}($ trans to thiolate) distances [2.148 (10) $\AA$; Table 1]. This behavior is in agreement with the case of $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{aet})_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta) }]^{2+}\right.$ (Konno et al., 1997; Miyashita et al., 1998), implying the trans influence of thiolate S atoms. The $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{S}$ (disulfide) distances in (I) [mean 2.304 (3) $\AA$ ] are $c a 0.04 \AA$ shorter than the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{S}$ (thiolate) distances $[2.348(3) \AA]$. The difference between these distances is smaller than that $(0.08 \AA)$ in $\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\mathrm{aet})_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu\right.$ cysta) $]^{2+}$, probably because the $S-S$ distances [mean 2.127 (6) $\AA$ A $]$ in (I) are slightly shorter than that $[2.158$ (3) $\AA]$ in


Figure 1
A perspective drawing of the $\Lambda_{S S} \Lambda_{S S}$ isomer of $\left[\{\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta })_{2}\right]^{4+}$, with the atom-numbering scheme, viewed down the crystallographic $C_{2}$ axis. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the $30 \%$ probability level and H atoms have been omitted for clarity. Atoms labelled with an asterisk (*) are at the symmetry position $\left(1-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z\right)$.
$\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta) }]^{2+}\right.$. In addition, these $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ distances are relatively long. For example, the $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ distance in $[\{\mathrm{IrCl}-$ $\left.\left.\left(\mu-\mathrm{SC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{PPh}_{3}\right)\right\}_{2}(\mu-\mathrm{ArSSAr})\right]$ ( Ar is mesityl) is 2.109 (3) $\AA$ (Matsukawa et al., 2000).

Since the $S$ (disulfide) $-\operatorname{Ir}-S(d i s u l f i d e)$ angles $\left[100.3(1)^{\circ}\right]$ are significantly larger than the ideal angle $\left(90^{\circ}\right)$, the N (trans to disulfide) $-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N}\left(\right.$ trans to disulfide) angles [86.9 (5) ${ }^{\circ}$ ] are smaller than the other $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{N}$ angles. On the other hand, the S (disulfide) $-\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{S}$ (disulfide) angle in (I) is smaller than those in $\left[M_{2} L \mathrm{I}_{2}(\mathrm{MeCN})_{2}\right]^{2+}\left[\right.$ mean $110.80(4)^{\circ}$ for $M=\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{II}}$ and mean $110.5(2)^{\circ}$ for $\left.M=\mathrm{Ni}^{\mathrm{II}}\right]$ with a macrocyclic ligand $L$ (Fox et al., 2000). Therefore, the stereochemistry of the complex cation in (I) shows less strain, i.e. the $\mathrm{Ir}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Ir}$ torsion angles deviate from $0^{\circ}$. Interestingly, the two disulfide bonds have obviously distinguishable torsion angles (Table 1). Atom N1 of the aet moiety involving atom S1 occupies the position trans to the disulfide S atom, whereas atom N 2 of the aet moiety involving atom S2 occupies the position trans to the thiolate $S$ atom. This difference leads to the difference in bond angles involving the disulfide bonds.

Since (I) could not be obtained by the direct oxidation of $\Delta / \Lambda-f a c(S)-\left[\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })_{3}\right]$ or $\Delta_{R} \Lambda_{S}-\left[\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\text { aet })_{2}\right\}_{2}(\mu \text {-cysta })\right]^{2+}$, it appears that the absolute configuration plays an important role in the formation of dinuclear complexes.

## Experimental

Orange powder of $\Delta \Delta / \Lambda \Lambda-\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{\operatorname{Ir}(\operatorname{aet})_{3}\right\}_{2}\right] \mathrm{I}_{3}$ (Mahboob et al., 2002) was dissolved in a small amount of water and subjected to a QAE Sephadex A-25 column ( $\mathrm{Br}^{-}$form). The orange solution, which was eluted with water, was concentrated on a rotary evaporator and kept in a refrigerator after the addition of a few drops of a saturated NaBr aqueous solution. A small number of orange octahedral crystals appeared within several days.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{Ir}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{NS}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}\right)_{2}\right] \mathrm{Br}_{4} \cdot-$ $2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=1196.90$
Tetragonal, $I 4_{1} / a$
$a=16.392$ (3) A
$c=22.784$ (6) $\AA$
$V=6121(2) \AA^{3}$
$Z=8$
$D_{x}=2.597 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}$

## Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7S diffractometer $\omega$ scans
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan
(North et al., 1968)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.102, T_{\text {max }}=0.116$
4032 measured reflections
3512 independent reflections
2082 reflections with $F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)$
Mo $K \alpha$ radiation
Cell parameters from 22 reflections
$\theta=10.0-12.8^{\circ}$
$\mu=14.38 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$
$T=296.2 \mathrm{~K}$
Octahedron, red
$0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \mathrm{~mm}$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.047$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.117$
$S=1.01$
3512 reflections
145 parameters

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters $\left(\AA,^{\circ}\right)$.

| Ir1-S1 | 2.313 (3) | Ir1-N2 | 2.148 (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ir1-S2 | 2.295 (3) | Ir1-N3 | 2.096 (12) |
| Ir1-S3 | 2.348 (3) | $\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{S} \mathrm{S}^{\text {i }}$ | 2.130 (6) |
| Ir1-N1 | 2.082 (11) | S2-S2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 2.124 (6) |
| S1-Ir1-S2 | 100.3 (1) | N1-Ir1-N3 | 86.9 (5) |
| S1-Ir1-S3 | 94.9 (1) | N2-Ir1-N3 | 91.4 (4) |
| S2-Ir1-S3 | 96.6 (1) | Ir1-S1-S1 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 106.3 (2) |
| N1-Ir1-N2 | 94.3 (4) | Ir1-S2-S2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ | 121.96 (9) |
| Ir $1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{S} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{Ir} 1^{\text {i }}$ | 88.7 (2) | $\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{S} 1-\mathrm{S} 1^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C} 1^{\text {i }}$ | -71.3 (10) |
| Ir1-S2-S2 ${ }^{\text {i }}$ - $\mathrm{Ir}^{1}{ }^{\text {i }}$ | -24.5 (4) | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{S} 2-\mathrm{S} 2^{\mathrm{i}}-\mathrm{C}^{\text {i }}$ | -163.3 (10) |

Symmetry code: (i) $1-x, \frac{1}{2}-y, z$.
H atoms bonded to C or N atoms were positioned geometrically and allowed to ride on their attached atoms $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H}=0.95 \AA$ and $\left.U_{\text {iso }}(\mathrm{H})=1.2 U_{\text {eq }}(\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{N})\right]$. H atoms of water molecules were not included in the calculations.

Data collection: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software (Rigaku, 1999); cell refinement: WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software; data reduction: TEXSAN (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: TEXSAN; molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: TEXSAN.

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research and the COE program of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OB1185). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

## References

Albela, B., Bothe, E., Brosch, O., Mochizuki, K., Weyhermüller, T. \& Wieghardt, K. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 5131-5138.
Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, M., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A. \& Polidori, G. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.
Fox, S., Stibrany, R. T., Potenza, J. A., Knapp, S. \& Schugar, H. J. (2000). Inorg. Chem. 39, 4950-4961.
Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
Konno, T. (2004). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 77, 627-649.
Konno, T., Haneishi, K., Hirotsu, M., Yamaguchi, T., Ito, T. \& Yoshimura, T. (2003). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 125, 9244-9245.

Konno, T., Miyashita, Y. \& Okamoto, K. (1997). Chem. Lett. pp. 85-86.
Mahboob, N., Miyashita, Y., Yamada, Y., Fujisawa, K. \& Okamoto, K. (2002). Polyhedron, 21, 1809-1816.
Mahboob, N., Miyashita, Y., Yamada, Y., Fujisawa, K. \& Okamoto, K. (2004). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 357, 75-82.
Matsukawa, S., Kuwata, S. \& Hidai, M. (2000). Inorg. Chem. 39, 791798.

Miyashita, Y., Sakagami, N., Yamada, Y., Konno, T. \& Okamoto, K. (1998). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 71, 2153-2160.
Molecular Structure Corporation (1999). TEXSAN. Version 1.10b. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. \& Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351359.

Rigaku (1999). WinAFC Diffractometer Control Software. Version 1.0.2. Rigaku Corporation, 3-9-2 Akishima, Tokyo, Japan.

